

IDE-3D: Interactive Disentangled Editing for High-Resolution 3D-aware Portrait Synthesis

JINGXIANG SUN, Tsinghua University, China

XUAN WANG, Tencent AI Lab, China

YICHUN SHI, ByteDance Inc., USA

LIZHEN WANG, Tsinghua University, China

JUE WANG, Tencent AI Lab, China

YEBIN LIU, Tsinghua University, China




Fig. 1. Our 3D portrait image generator allows users to perform interactive global and local editing on shape and texture in a view-consistent way. First row: starting from the source image (a), we gradually change the person’s glasses (b), haircut (c), expression (d) and the global texture (e) with view consistency. Second row: Our method allows for disentangled region-level texture adjustment including hair (f), eyes & eye brows (g), cloth & background (h), the whole face region (i), etc.. Moreover, our method learns high-quality geometry from a collection of 2D images without multi-view supervision (j).

Existing 3D-aware facial generation methods face a dilemma in quality versus editability: they either generate editable results in low resolution, or high quality ones with no editing flexibility. In this work, we propose a new approach that brings the best of both worlds together. Our system consists of three major components: (1) a 3D-semantics-aware generative model that produces view-consistent, disentangled face images and semantic masks; (2) a hybrid GAN inversion approach that initialize the latent codes from the semantic and texture encoder, and further optimized them for faithful reconstruction; and (3) a canonical editor that enables efficient manipulation of semantic masks in canonical view and produces high quality editing results. Our approach is competent for many applications, e.g. free-view face drawing, editing and style control. Both quantitative and qualitative results show that our method reaches the state-of-the-art in terms of photorealism, faithfulness and efficiency.

CCS Concepts: • **Computing methodologies** → **Image manipulation**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: 3D GAN, Image synthesis

Authors’ addresses: Jingxiang Sun, Tsinghua University, China; Xuan Wang, Tencent AI Lab, China; Yichun Shi, ByteDance Inc., USA; Lizhen Wang, Tsinghua University, China; Jue Wang, Tencent AI Lab, China; Yebin Liu, Tsinghua University, China.

1 INTRODUCTION

Portrait synthesis finds broad applications in computer graphics including virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), avatars-based telecommunication and so on. In this context, a generator is expected to synthesize photo-realistic facial images with control over multiple factors (e.g. haircut, wearings, poses, pupil color). Existing StyleGAN-based approaches [3, 11, 34, 37] achieve the editing ability by either learning attribute-specific directions in the latent space [3, 11, 34, 37], or learning a more disentangled and controllable latent space through conditioning various priors [8–10, 13, 24, 30, 35, 37, 38, 40, 47]. Such methods are effective on synthesizing 2D images, but suffer from view-inconsistency if directly applied for editing different views of a 3D face.

Exploiting implicit neural representations to build 3D-aware GANs has recently gained considerable attention. Early NeRF-based generators [7, 33] are proposed to generate view-consistent portraits relying on volumetric representation, which is memory-inefficient and can only synthesize images with limits resolution and fidelity. Several approaches are proposed to alleviate this problem by using the CNN-based up-sampler [6, 17, 27, 29, 42, 43]. Recently, Sun et al. [36] attempt to enable local face editing in the generated NeRF

representations via GAN inversion, however the results exhibit inadequate photorealism. Furthermore, the optimization-based GAN inversion is very time-consuming, thus is not suitable for real-time interactive editing tasks.

In this work, we propose a high-resolution 3D-aware generative model that not only enables local control of the facial shape and texture, but also supports real-time, interactive editing. Our framework consists of a multi-head StyleGAN2 feature generator, a neural volume renderer and a 2D CNN-based up-sampler. To disentangle different facial attributes, shape and texture codes are injected separately into both the shallow and the deep layers of the StyleGAN-based feature generator. The output features are then used to construct the spatially aligned 3D volumes of shape (encoded in facial semantics) and texture in an efficient tri-plane representation. Given the generated 3D volumes, free-view portraits can be rendered via the volume rendering and a 2D CNN-based up-sampler with satisfactory view-consistency and photorealism.

To enable various face editing applications, it is necessary to map the input image and semantic mask to the latent space and edit the encoded face via GAN inversion techniques. One possible solution is to use optimization-based GAN inversion as done in FENeRF [36], whereas two obvious drawbacks exist. On the one hand, it is difficult to obtain a proper initialization that can produce optimal latent codes. On the other hand, optimization is usually too time-consuming for interactive editing. We thus adopt a hybrid GAN inversion approach. Given the input facial image and corresponding semantic masks, we use the texture and semantic encoders to obtain corresponding latent codes, which are used as the initialization for the optimization-based pivotal tuning [32] to obtain high-fidelity reconstruction.

Given the optimized latent codes, editing can be performed by directly drawing on the inverted semantic masks. These modifications can then be mapped into the latent space via the semantic encoders. Unfortunately, the output latent code of the encoders cannot be used to faithfully reconstruct the input images and semantic masks. This is because it is too difficult for these encoders to learn the mapping from pose-entangled (semantic) image space to the pose-invariant latent space. It is possible to use the proposed hybrid GAN inversion again to improve faithfulness, however it makes the editing operation time-consuming. To overcome this limitation, we present a canonical editor: the additional encoders that always take as input the images in canonical view and map them into the latent space. Note that the optimization-based pivotal tuning runs only once per input image to get the inverted latent codes. We then perform all the following operations through the proposed canonical editor. Benefiting from view normalization, the editor then enables real-time editing without sacrificing faithfulness.

In summary, we propose a locally disentangled, semantics-aware 3D face generator which supports interactive 3D face synthesis and local editing. Our method supports various free-view portrait editing tasks with the state-of-the-art performance in photorealism and efficiency. Our main technical contributions are as followings:

- We present a high-resolution semantic-aware 3D generator which enables disentangled control over local shape and texture.

- We propose an hybrid GAN inversion method, which can faithfully invert the facial image and semantic mask into the latent spaces.
- We propose a canonical editor module that is capable of real-time editing on free-view portrait with high quality results.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Generative 3D-aware Image Synthesis

Generative adversarial networks have recently achieved tremendous breakthroughs in terms of image quality and editability for 2D image synthesis. In recent years, neural scene representations have lifted image generation into 3D settings with explicit camera control. Early voxel-based methods [16, 18, 25, 26, 46] fail to synthesize the complex scenes and photo-realistic details due to the limited grid resolutions. The Implicit Neural Representations (INR), especially the Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF), which have proven to generate high-fidelity results in novel view synthesis, are introduced to 3D-aware generative models [7, 33]. However, the costly sampling and MLP queries in neural volume rendering make those unsuitable for training high-resolution GANs. To this end, the recently developed 3D-aware GANs make use of a two-stage rendering process [6, 17, 27, 29, 42, 43] or efficient sampling strategy [14, 44] to tackle this problem. Meanwhile, aimed to reduce view-inconsistent artifacts brought by the 2D renderers, they adopt different strategies including NeRF path regularization [17], dual discriminators [6], etc. Based on 3D-aware GANs, FENeRF [36] has attempted to edit the local shape and texture in a facial volume via GAN inversion. Nonetheless, this method suffers from the low-resolution results and its optimization-based inversion cannot meet the demand for real-time and user-interactive applications.

2.2 Conditional Portrait Editing

GANs are widely leveraged to learn a mapping from a reference in source domain to the target domain. Specifically for portrait synthesis, the reference are often referred to semantic masks [30, 47], or hand-written sketches [9, 10]. In the context of semantic-guided facial image synthesis, SPADE [30] leverages the semantic information to modulate the image decoder for better visual fidelity and texture-semantic alignment. Zhu et al. [47] further enable the region-wise control over the texture style relying on its region-adaptive normalization. Recently, SofGAN [8] has been proposed to use semantic volumes for 3D editable image synthesis. Nevertheless, it requires multi-view images and the ground-truth 3D geometry to learn the semantic volumes. In addition, it lacks any mechanism for preserving the view-consistency in the synthesized textures.

2.3 GAN Inversion

GAN inversion techniques play an important role for editable portrait synthesis. Existing methods can be roughly categorized into three classes: optimization-based, learning-based and hybrid GAN inversion approaches. Optimization methods [1, 2] directly optimize latent codes by minimizing the difference between the input image and the reconstructed ones, which are usually harmed by the improper initialization and low efficiency. By contrast, learning-based methods exploit an encoder network to directly map the input




Fig. 2. Pipeline of our 3D generator and encoders. The 3D generator (upper) consists of several parts. First, a StyleGAN feature generator G_{feat} constructs the spatially aligned 3D volumes of semantic and texture in an efficient tri-plane representation. To decouple different facial attributes, shape and texture codes are injected separately into both the shallow and the deep layers of G_{feat} . Moreover, the deep layers are designed to three parallel branch corresponding to each feature plane to reduce the entanglement among them. Given the generated 3D volumes, RGB images and semantic masks can be rendered jointly via the volume rendering and a 2D CNN-based up-sampler. Encoders (lower) embeds the portrait images and corresponding semantic masks into the texture and semantic latent codes by two independent proposed encoders. With a predicted camera pose, Then the fixed generator reconstructs the portrait under the predicted camera pose. In order to eliminate pose effect, we jointly train a canonical editor which takes as input the portrait images and semantic masks under the canonical view, with the consistency enforcement.

images into the latent space. Richardson et al. [31] first present to train an encoder to map the images into the W^+ space. Alaluf et al. [4] further propose iterative forward refinement to predict latent codes with better image preservation. Most recently, Wang et al. [39] propose the distortion consultation branch to recover high-frequency image-specific details. In the case of hybrid GAN inversion, it leverages the encoder to predict a latent code which is used as the initialization in the optimization process [45]. In addition to optimizing the latent codes, Pivotal Tuning Inversion [32] also fine-tunes the generator parameters for recovering image details that cannot be encoded in the latent space.

3 METHOD

In this section, we provide a more detailed description of the presented approach, IDE-3D. The organization of this section is as follows: We introduce the 3D-semantic-aware generator in Sec. 3.1, including the network architecture and the training losses. In Sec. 3.2, we first describe the encoders employed in then hybrid GAN inversion. Then we present the design of the canonical editor, as well as explain the training loss and strategy.

3.1 Photorealistic 3D-Semantic-Aware GAN

In order to synthesize the high-definition portrait image with flexible editability, as shown in 2, our generator consists of the Multi-head

StyleGAN-based feature generator which generates the semantic-disentangled 3D representations and the neural renderer that is composed of the volume renderer and a 2D CNN-based up-sampler. **Multi-head StyleGAN generator.** We construct the generator with a StyleGAN-based backbone and dual Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) decoders. Given a randomly sampled latent code $z \in \mathbb{R}^{512}$ and camera pose $p \in \mathbb{R}^{25}$, we first map z to the W_+ space via the network M . Different from EG3D Chan et al. [6], we inject the latent codes w_s and w_t into the shallow (first eight layers) and the deep (last ten layers) layers of the StyleGAN generator g respectively to obtain the disentangled semantic $f_{triplane}^s$ and texture $f_{triplane}^t$ features in tri-plane formulation.

In particular, we introduce a multi-head architecture. The deep layers of StyleGAN-based backbone are split into three branches which are connected to the same shallow layers. In other words, the three axis-aligned orthogonal texture feature planes $f_{triplane}^t$ are generated from different branches individually. We empirically find that the benefit of the multi-head architecture is two-head. On one head, it facilitates training convergence. On the other hand, it helps to avoid the "hollow face illusions", especially for the illusion of the eyes that follow the viewing camera.

Dual MLP decoders. Our Dual encoder Φ has two independent modules Φ_s, Φ_t , for facial semantic and texture, both of which are formulated as a single hidden layer MLP of 64 units. For each point

x in the 3D space, we query its semantic and texture feature vectors f_x^s, f_x^t by projecting it to axis-aligned planes of $f_{triplane}^s$ and $f_{triplane}^t$. The Φ_s decodes the semantic features f_x^s to the volume density σ and semantic labels s . And the multi-channel colour feature c is decoded by Φ_t from f_x^t . The total function of our semantic-aware decoder Φ can be written as below:

$$\Phi : (x, f_x^s, f_x^t) \mapsto (\sigma, c, s). \quad (1)$$

Neural renderer. We adopt a neural volume renderer and a 2D CNN-based up-sampler to render the portrait image from the decoded 3D volume. Given the all the sampled volume densities, semantic labels and color features of all the pixel rays r , we exploit the volume renderer to obtain a colour feature map C_l and a semantic mask S_l in low resolution. In specific, the first three channels of C_l store the (r, g, b) values. It contains a low-resolution portrait in the color feature map.

In order to bring the image rendering into high resolution and photorealism, a 2D CNN-based up-sampler is introduced. The rendered intermediate color feature C_l and semantic map S_l are up-sampled into the final high-resolution RGB image I_h and semantic map S_h via a two StyleGAN2 synthesis blocks which are modulated by the corresponding latent codes and predict the residuals of the LR inputs.

Dual discrimination and training objective. Aimed to model the joint distribution of aligned real RGB images and semantic masks $p(I, S)$, we present the dual discriminator D_{dual} which takes as input both RGB images and semantic masks. As mentioned before, the first three channels of feature map C_l exactly form a low-resolution RGB image. The dual discriminator thus takes as input the concatenation of resized LR rgb/semantics image and the synthesised HR rgb/semantic image (I_h, I'_l, S_h, S'_l) .

D_{dual} is designed with has two independent convolution blocks as well as minibatch standard deviation layers for RGB images (I_h, I'_l) and semantic m (S_h, S'_l) , followed by a concatenation before the final output. The dual-branch discriminator has two advantages: 1) Decoupling RGB image and semantic mask reduces the unnatural contours of synthesized images effected by semantic mask; 2) It supports for regularizing the gradient penalty of image and semantic branches separately. We obtain the discrimination loss as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{D_{dual}, G} = & \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{Z}, p \sim \mathcal{P}} [f(D_{dual}(G(z, p)))] + \\ & \mathbb{E}_{I \sim \mathcal{P}_I, S \sim \mathcal{P}_S} [f(-D_{dual}(I, I_r, S_r, S) + \\ & \lambda_{image} \|\nabla D_{dual}(I)\|^2) + \lambda_{seg} \|\nabla D_{dual}(S)\|^2)] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Density regularization As pointed in EG3D [6], we sometimes observe the "seam" artifacts along the edge of the faces in both synthesized image and the extracted mesh. A possible reason could be the imbalanced camera pose distribution of datasets. In particular, the distribution of head pose angles, in FFHQ dataset, has relatively small variance, thus it is challenging to learn a good shape of faces rendered from side views. In IDE-3D, we introduce a density regularization loss which regularize the density field such that nearby 3D points have similar density values, inspired by [6, 12, 28]. The density regularization loss is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{density} = \sum_{x_s \in \mathcal{S}} \|d(x_s) - d(x_s + \epsilon)\|_2 \quad (3)$$

Here, \mathcal{S} denotes the set of fine points, $d(x)$ is the predicted density of point x . The total learning objective is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{total} = \mathcal{L}_{D_{dual}, G} + \lambda_{density} \mathcal{L}_{density} \quad (4)$$

3.2 Hybrid GAN Inversion and Canonical Editor

Given a pre-trained generator, the GAN inversion approach is necessary for interactive editing. In this context, photorealism, faithfulness and efficiency are the most important factors.

Encoder training and multi-view augmentation. A hybrid GAN inversion method, which can be regarded as a combination of the learning-based and optimization-based approaches, is introduced. As illustrated in Fig. 2, we adopt two encoders that map the input image and semantic map to the latent spaces \mathcal{W}_+^s and \mathcal{W}_+^t . In our case, the camera pose is also needed to be extracted from the pose-entangled 2D images. We achieve it by appending two dimensions in the output of the texture branch for predicting yaw and pitch. We adopt two types data during training. One is the real data from specific dataset. The other is synthetic which can be rendered by the pre-trained generator. Furthermore, we present a multi-view augmentation strategy. In particular, for a synthetic portrait image, we additionally render it from another k random views and train them together with two-fold consistency constrains. On the one hand, as they are synthesized from the same latent code, their inverted codes should be the same. On the other hand we also add a high-level feature consistency loss on the reconstructed images. We observe that the multi-view augmentation benefits a better content-pose disentanglement and improve the stability of learning-based inversion across different views. During real portrait editing, we gain the inverted latent code as an initialization for pivotal tuning [32] to faithfully reconstruct the inputs.

Canonical editor. In conjunction with the optimization-based tuning, the presented hybrid GAN inversion can reconstruct the inputs faithfully. Unfortunately, the time-consuming tuning process is impractical for the real-time tasks. The possible reason that the encoders cannot yield the faithful latent codes is learning the mapping from pose-entangled images to the pose-invariant latent space could be too difficult. To tackle this problem, we propose a specific encoder, the canonical editor E_{can} which only map canonical-view images and semantic masks into latent spaces as shown in bottom-right of Fig. 2. E_{can} takes as input canonical-view synthetic face images and semantic masks rendered from a pretrained generator in training. During real portrait editing, we gain the reconstructed latent code by exploit the aforementioned hybrid inversion only once, and all the following editing operations are performed thorough the E_{can} . Experiments demonstrate that the canonical editor produces much more faithful results compared with the other encoders.

4 APPLICATIONS AND EXPERIMENTS

In this section we conduct a series of qualitative and quantitative experiments to demonstrate the superiority of our framework from three dimensions: photorealism, faithfulness and editability [41].



Fig. 3. Qualitative comparison among FENeRF, StyleNeRF, StyleSDF and ours. Models are trained with FFHQ at 512^2 , except FENeRF with FFHQ at 128^2 . Note that we don’t extract shape of StyleNeRF as there is no released scripts in their released codes.

	FFHQ			CelebAHQ-Mask		
	FID↓	KID↓	ID↑	FID↓	KID↓	ID↑
FENeRF 128^2	29.0	3.728	0.61	13.2	1.6	0.68
StyleNeRF 512^2	7.8	0.22	0.62	16.6	1.129	0.59
StyleSDF 512^2	13.1	0.269	0.742	7.28	0.280	0.65
EG3D* 512^2	4.7	0.132	0.77	—	—	—
Ours 512^2	4.6	0.130	0.76	4.9	0.135	0.75

Table 1. Quantitative comparison with FENeRF, StyleNeRF, StyleSDF and EG3D on FFHQ and CelebAHQ-Mask dataset. * stands for quoting from the paper.

Sec. 4.1 illustrates that our framework gets comparable performance on photorealism as EG3D which is the current SOTA; Sec. 4.2 proves the effectiveness of our proposed strategies on the faithfulness of inversion; Sec. 4.3 demonstrates various applications by exploring the editability of global and local styles.

Datasets. We train and evaluate our models on two popular datasets for face synthesis and editing: CelebAHQ-Mask[23] and FFHQ[21]. For both datasets, we predict approximate camera extrinsics for each image with a off-the-shelf head pose estimator[15], and all images share a set of constant camera intrinsics. For semantic masks, we adopt the provided ones of CelebAHQ-Mask and label the semantic classes for FFHQ with a pretrained face parser following SofGAN. For data augmentation, we augment both datasets by horizontal flip as well as rebalance which is aimed to increase the sampling probability of images photoed at steep angles.

4.1 Comparisons

Baselines. We select different baselines for various tasks. For image synthesis and geometry quality, we compare our method with the state-of-the-art high-resolution 3D generative models, StyleNeRF, StyleSDF and EG3D, as well as FENeRF which share some similarity with us on semantic awareness and editing ability on local shape. For the task of semantic-guided synthesis and editing, we compare our method with FENeRF and 2D GANs, including SPADE, SEAN and SofGAN.

Qualitative comparisons. Fig. 3 presents a qualitative comparison against baselines. FENeRF synthesizes view-consistent images, while the rendering resolution and image quality are limited. StyleNeRF and StyleSDF show impressive image synthesis quality and StyleSDF also learns a good quality shape with the implicit SDF

representation and geometry constraint during training, but it fails to capture shape details. our method achieves not only higher photorealism in image synthesis but also higher-fidelity geometry.

Quantitative evaluations. We quantitatively evaluate the photorealism and the diversity of image synthesis using the Frechet Inception Distance (FID) [19] and Kernel Inception Distance (KID) [5]. Following [6], we evaluate view consistency assessed by multi-view facial identity consistency (ID). This metric calculates the mean Arcface [9] cosine similarity score between pairs of the same synthetic face rendered from two random camera poses. Table. 1 reports the quantitative metrics comparing with baselines on the FFHQ and CelebAHQ-Mask datasets. Our method shows the state-of-the-art image synthesis quality and view consistency across all datasets.

4.2 Ablation Study

Canonical editor. Recall that we propose two encoders, a general encoder E and a canonical editor E_{can} . In order to investigate the effectiveness of E_{can} , we conduct experiments to explore: 1) how the input view angles influence on the inversion quality of E ; 2) Is it easier to learn the style mapping under the canonical view than random views? First we sample 4000 camera poses using a stratified sampling approach ranging from $t - 63^\circ$ to $+63^\circ$. Then we sample 4000 latent codes and render each in a sampled view for E input I , the front view for E_{can} input I_{can} , and a random view for test I_{test} . Given the predicted latent code from E and E_{can} , we utilize them to render the test view and compute the similarity with I_{test} , respectively. Furthermore, in order to eliminate the data effect, we also process the canonical view image I_{can} into E . Fig 4 plots the inversion performance of the three settings above assessed by 3 metrics against the yaw angles of our sampled views. We can see that the inversion faithfulness of E degenerates with the head pose getting far from the canonical view, which indicates the steep view angles truly affect the style mapping ability. Moreover, while the performance of E_{can} and E with the canonical input both remain stable along with the changing poses, E_{can} illustrates the superior performance on all metrics, indicating it is easier to learn a good style mapping in the canonical view. Fig 5 shows two examples of our experiment results.

Density regularization. In this work we propose the density regularization to enforce the nearby points to the similar volume density,




Fig. 4. The inversion ability of T/S encoder degenerates along with the increasing yaw angles. Input with the fixed canonical view (green “X” point) helps to stabilize the performance. Our proposed canonical editor (blue “star” point) shows the superior inversion capacity, indicating the effectiveness of learning style mapping from the canonical view.




Fig. 5. Effectiveness of our canonical style encoder. We compare the inversion results of the standard encoder, the standard encoder with canonical input and our canonical encoder. Both the qualitative results and the quantitative errors prove the superiority of our canonical style encoder.




Fig. 6. Effect of density regularization. We synthesize images and geometry for seeds 0-3 with truncation $\phi = 0.5$, using two models w/ and w/o density regularization.

which effectively remove the "seam" shape artifacts of side faces. Fig. 6 demonstrates the comparison between our model trained w/ and w/o density regularization. It is obviously that the renderings of the model w/o density regularization show "seam" artifacts in both images and the extracted meshes, with varying degrees. On the contrary, density regularization wipe off such artifacts without any degeneration of shape quality.

Hybrid GAN inversion. We perform the experiments to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed hybrid GAN inversion. Fig. 7 demonstrates the inversion performance under three kinds of initialization approaches: the averaged random latent codes (Avg init), reconstructed latent code by the encoder with (without) multi-view augmentation (Encoder init w/ or w/o aug.). Note the first initialization manner is exactly the optimization-based inversion method. Recall the multi-view augmentation. During training encoders, we introduce multi-view augmentation, i.e. training with multi-view rendered synthetic data with consistency enforcement to encourage the coder to decouple style encoding from pose-entangled RGB (semantic) images. We can see that the encoder without multi-view augmentation provides a reasonable shape and texture prior thus obtains more consistent faces under novel views than average initialization. However, it still suffers from inconsistent artifacts in novel views with self occlusion (the 5th column in both cases). On the contrary, the encoder with multi-view augmentation significantly alleviate the inconsistent artifacts and also learns a more

faithful geometry, indicating the augmentation helps to learn a more view-consistent style mapping.

4.3 Applications

Interactive neural 3D face drawing and editing. IDE-3D supports interactive 3D face drawing and editing. Given a semantic mask taken from real portrait images or randomly sampled from the shape latent space, our method can generate a 3D face with the same layout as the input semantic mask. As demonstrated in Fig. 8, we interactively edit the semantic mask to obtain the user-desired shapes in a view consistent manner.

Region-level texture editing. Our semantic-aware synthesis framework enables region-level texture style controlling. Benefiting from the semantic segmentation branch of neural decoder, we can predict the semantic labels of each 3D point. By selecting user-specific target regions (e.g. hair, eyes, cloth etc), we can construct a 3D style mask which only select 3D points belonging to these semantic classes according to their semantic labels. Then given a desired texture style, we utilize it to generate new texture triplane and replace the color of selected 3D points by querying from this triplane. Fig. 1 demonstrates the region-level texture style adjustment in hair, lips, cloth and background. Our approach is capable of maintaining the texture style unchanged except the target regions. Please refer to our supplementary video for more visualization examples.

Real Portrait local editing. Our IDE-3D enables high-fidelity 3D inversion and interactive editing of real portrait images. We take a comparison with SofGAN and FENeRF, two representative works in 2D and 3D semantic guided editing, as illustrated in Fig. 9. First, We take three real portrait images and project them into the latent space of each synthesis framework. For a fair comparison, we adopt the unified optimization-based inversion method in StyleGAN2 [22] for all three frameworks. Turning to editing, we maintain their original editing methods for the best performance. Given an edited semantic



Fig. 7. Different initialization strategies for hybrid GAN inversion. Multi-view augmentation helps to alleviate inconsistent artifacts within self occluded regions and reconstruct more faithful shapes.



Fig. 8. Interactive 3D face drawing. Our method supports interactively synthesize view-consistent photo-realistic portrait images by drawing a semantic mask. The figure shows some facial attribute editing examples, e.g. hairstyle, glass (the first row), hat, eyes and expression (the second row). We can observe that our method preserves the unedited local regions well when manipulating the shape and expressions.




Fig. 9. Real Portrait local shape editing. IDE-3D supports high-quality interactive real face editing using the semantic mask in a view consistent manner, which can not be guaranteed in SofGAN and FENeRF.

mask under the input view, we combine it with the optimized latent code into a pretrained SofGAN model to obtain the edited portrait image. In order to obtain the free-viewed results, we need to project the semantic mask into its semantic field. Unfortunately, we fail to get a reasonable result. Therefore, we provide SofGAN with the free-viewed semantic masks rendered by our method instead. For



Fig. 10. Region-level texture style adjustment. Our approach enables view-consistent region-level texture style adjustment on 19 classes: skin, eye glasses, eye brows, hair, nose, lips, cloth, wearings, etc.

FENeRF, we directly process the target semantic mask into it for the semantic inversion. Fig. 9 demonstrates that our method outperforms SofGAN and FENeRF in editing fidelity, image quality and view-consistency. SofGAN and our IDE-3D both obtain high-fidelity inversion result in the input view. However, SofGAN changes the identity obviously when turning to other views. FENeRF achieves high view consistency due its 3D nature, however, struggles to reconstruct high-fidelity texture detail. FENeRF also fails to model glasses due to its limited diversity of its GAN latent space.

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose the IDE-3D consisting of a 3D-semantic-aware generator, a hybrid GAN inversion approach and the canonical editor. As demonstrated in massive experiments, our method supports many the flexible and interactive face editing tasks in real time without sacrificing faithfulness and photorealism.

Limitations and future works. As the free-view portrait is encoded in a 3D volume, using single image to reconstruct the 3D facial volume is an ill-posed problem. As a result, it could produce the implausible facial geometry in some cases, which is a known limitation

to our method. To this end, we plan to study the multi-view/frame GAN inversion approaches in future works.

REFERENCES

- [1] Rameen Abdal, Yipeng Qin, and Peter Wonka. 2019. Image2StyleGAN: How to Embed Images Into the StyleGAN Latent Space?. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- [2] Rameen Abdal, Yipeng Qin, and Peter Wonka. 2020. Image2stylegan++: How to edit the embedded images?. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [3] Rameen Abdal, Peihao Zhu, Niloy J Mitra, and Peter Wonka. 2021. Styleflow: Attribute-conditioned exploration of stylegan-generated images using conditional continuous normalizing flows. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* (2021).
- [4] Yuval Alaluf, Or Patashnik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2021. Restyle: A residual-based stylegan encoder via iterative refinement. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (CVPR)*.
- [5] Mikolaj Bińkowski, Danica J Sutherland, Michael Arbel, and Arthur Gretton. 2018. Demystifying mmd gans. *arxiv:1801.01401* (2018).
- [6] Eric R. Chan, Connor Z. Lin, Matthew A. Chan, Koki Nagano, Boxiao Pan, Shalini De Mello, Orazio Gallo, Leonidas Guibas, Jonathan Tremblay, Sameh Khamis, Tero Karras, and Gordon Wetzstein. 2021. Efficient Geometry-aware 3D Generative Adversarial Networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (CVPR)*.
- [7] Eric R Chan, Marco Monteiro, Petr Kellnhofer, Jiajun Wu, and Gordon Wetzstein. 2021. pi-gan: Periodic implicit generative adversarial networks for 3d-aware image synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [8] Anpei Chen, Ruiyang Liu, Ling Xie, Zhang Chen, Hao Su, and Jingyi Yu. 2022. Sofgan: A portrait image generator with dynamic styling. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* (2022).
- [9] Shu-Yu Chen, Feng-Lin Liu, Yu-Kun Lai, Paul L. Rosin, Chunpeng Li, Hongbo Fu, and Lin Gao. 2021. DeepFaceEditing: Deep Generation of Face Images from Sketches. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* (2021).
- [10] Shu-Yu Chen, Wanchao Su, Lin Gao, Shihong Xia, and Hongbo Fu. 2020. DeepFaceDrawing: Deep generation of face images from sketches. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* (2020).
- [11] Xi Chen, Yan Duan, Rein Houthoofd, John Schulman, Ilya Sutskever, and Pieter Abbeel. 2016. Infogan: Interpretable representation learning by information maximizing generative adversarial nets. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- [12] Xu Chen, Yufeng Zheng, Michael J Black, Otmar Hilliges, and Andreas Geiger. 2021. SNARF: Differentiable forward skinning for animating non-rigid neural implicit shapes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (CVPR)*.
- [13] Yu Deng, Jiaolong Yang, Dong Chen, Fang Wen, and Tong Xin. 2020. Disentangled and Controllable Face Image Generation via 3D Imitative-Contrastive Learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [14] Yu Deng, Jiaolong Yang, Jianfeng Xiang, and Xin Tong. 2021. Gram: Generative radiance manifolds for 3d-aware image generation. *arXiv:2112.08867* (2021).
- [15] Yu Deng, Jiaolong Yang, Sicheng Xu, Dong Chen, Yunde Jia, and Xin Tong. 2019. Accurate 3d face reconstruction with weakly-supervised learning: From single image to image set. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*. 0–0.
- [16] Matheus Gadelha, Subhransu Maji, and Rui Wang. 2017. 3d shape induction from 2d views of multiple objects. In *International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*.
- [17] Jiatao Gu, Lingjie Liu, Peng Wang, and Christian Theobalt. 2021. Stylenerf: A style-based 3d-aware generator for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv:2110.08985* (2021).
- [18] Philipp Henzler, Niloy J Mitra, and Tobias Ritschel. 2019. Escaping Plato's cave: 3D shape from adversarial rendering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (CVPR)*.
- [19] Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. 2017. GANs trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- [20] Tero Karras, Miika Aittala, Samuli Laine, Erik Härkönen, Janne Hellsten, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Timo Aila. 2021. Alias-free generative adversarial networks. *arxiv:2106.12423* (2021).
- [21] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. 2019. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 4401–4410.
- [22] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, Miika Aittala, Janne Hellsten, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Timo Aila. 2020. Analyzing and improving the image quality of stylegan. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*.
- [23] Cheng-Han Lee, Ziwei Liu, Lingyun Wu, and Ping Luo. 2020. Maskgan: Towards diverse and interactive facial image manipulation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [24] Yuhang Li, Xuejin Chen, Binxin Yang, Zihan Chen, Zhihua Cheng, and Zheng-Jun Zha. 2020. DeepFacePencil: Creating Face Images from Freehand Sketches. In *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Multimedia*.
- [25] Thu Nguyen-Phuoc, Chuan Li, Lucas Theis, Christian Richardt, and Yong-Liang Yang. 2019. HoloGAN: Unsupervised learning of 3d representations from natural images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*.
- [26] Thu H Nguyen-Phuoc, Christian Richardt, Long Mai, Yongliang Yang, and Niloy Mitra. 2020. BlockGAN: Learning 3d object-aware scene representations from unlabelled images. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- [27] Michael Niemeyer and Andreas Geiger. 2021. Giraffe: Representing scenes as compositional generative neural feature fields. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [28] Michael Oechsle, Songyou Peng, and Andreas Geiger. 2021. Unisurf: Unifying neural implicit surfaces and radiance fields for multi-view reconstruction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- [29] Roy Or-El, Xuan Luo, Mengyi Shan, Eli Shechtman, Jeong Joon Park, and Ira Kemelmacher-Shlizerman. 2021. StyleSDF: High-Resolution 3D-Consistent Image and Geometry Generation. *arXiv:2112.11427* (2021).
- [30] Taesung Park, Ming-Yu Liu, Ting-Chun Wang, and Jun-Yan Zhu. 2019. Semantic image synthesis with spatially-adaptive normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [31] Elad Richardson, Yuval Alaluf, Or Patashnik, Yotam Nitzan, Yaniv Azar, Stav Shaprio, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2021. Encoding in style: a stylegan encoder for image-to-image translation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [32] Daniel Roich, Ron Mokady, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2021. Pivotal Tuning for Latent-based Editing of Real Images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.05744* (2021).
- [33] Katja Schwarz, Yiyi Liao, Michael Niemeyer, and Andreas Geiger. 2020. Graf: Generative radiance fields for 3d-aware image synthesis. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.
- [34] Yujun Shen, Ceyuan Yang, Xiaoou Tang, and Bolei Zhou. 2020. InterFaceGAN: Interpreting the disentangled face representation learned by GANs. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* (2020).
- [35] Yichun Shi, Xiao Yang, Yangyue Wan, and Xiaohui Shen. 2022. SemanticStyleGAN: Learning Compositional Generative Priors for Controllable Image Synthesis and Editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [36] Jingxiang Sun, Xuan Wang, Yong Zhang, Xiaoyu Li, Qi Zhang, Yebin Liu, and Jue Wang. 2022. FENeRF: Face Editing in Neural Radiance Fields. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [37] Ayush Tewari, Mohamed Elgharib, Gaurav Bharaj, Florian Bernard, Hans-Peter Seidel, Patrick Pérez, Michael Zollhofer, and Christian Theobalt. 2020. Stylerig: Rigging stylegan for 3d control over portrait images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [38] Can Wang, Menglei Chai, Mingming He, Dongdong Chen, and Jing Liao. 2021. Cross-domain and disentangled face manipulation with 3d guidance. *arXiv:2104.11228* (2021).
- [39] Tengfei Wang, Yong Zhang, Yanbo Fan, Jue Wang, and Qifeng Chen. 2022. High-Fidelity GAN Inversion for Image Attribute Editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [40] Ting-Chun Wang, Ming-Yu Liu, Jun-Yan Zhu, Andrew Tao, Jan Kautz, and Bryan Catanzaro. 2018. High-resolution image synthesis and semantic manipulation with conditional gans. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR)*.
- [41] Weihao Xia, Yulun Zhang, Yujun Shen, Jing-Hao Xue, Bolei Zhou, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. 2021. GAN inversion: A survey. *arXiv:2101.05278* (2021).
- [42] Yinghao Xu, Sida Peng, Ceyuan Yang, Yujun Shen, and Bolei Zhou. 2021. 3D-aware Image Synthesis via Learning Structural and Textural Representations. *arXiv:2112.10759* (2021).
- [43] Yang Xue, Yuheng Li, Krishna Kumar Singh, and Yong Jae Lee. 2022. GIRAFFE HD: A High-Resolution 3D-aware Generative Model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [44] Peng Zhou, Lingxi Xie, Bingbing Ni, and Qi Tian. 2021. Cips-3d: A 3d-aware generator of gans based on conditionally-independent pixel synthesis. *arXiv:2110.09788* (2021).
- [45] Jiapeng Zhu, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Bolei Zhou. 2020. In-domain GAN Inversion for Real Image Editing. In *Proceedings of European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*.
- [46] Jun-Yan Zhu, Zhoutong Zhang, Chengkai Zhang, Jiajun Wu, Antonio Torralba, Josh Tenenbaum, and Bill Freeman. 2018. Visual object networks: Image generation with disentangled 3d representations. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*.

[47] Peihao Zhu, Rameen Abdal, Yipeng Qin, and Peter Wonka. 2020. Sean: Image synthesis with semantic region-adaptive normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.

A OVERVIEW

In the supplement, we first discuss the implementation details of our framework (Sec. B) including the network architecture and hyperparameters. We also provide the experiment details (Sec. C) such as datasets and baselines. Finally, we provide additional visual results (Sec. D).

B IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

We implement our framework on the top of the official PyTorch implementation of StyleGAN2, with the updated version: StyleGAN3. We adopt the efficient synthesis structure and training designs of StyleGAN which incorporates equalized learning rate, label conditioned mapping network and discriminator, blur initialization tricks and GAN loss with R1 regularization.

Multi-head StyleGAN-based feature generator. In the main paper we introduce a multi-head StyleGAN-based feature generator to disentangle feature planes in the texture tri-planes. In specific, the multi-head architecture is designed to the three parallel branches with a shared input feature map from the last layer of the semantic branches with dimension $64 \times 64 \times 64$. Each texture branch upsamples the shared feature map into $256 \times 256 \times 32$.

Semantic-aware neural renderer. Our decoder consists of two independent multi-layer perceptrons (MLPs), each with a single hidden layer of 64 units and softplus activation functions. We let one decoder to predict both semantic labels $s \in \mathbb{R}^{19}$ and density $d \in \mathbb{R}^1$ as we observe that the 3D points belonging to the same semantic class are more likely to share the similar geometry. The other decoder is to predict a feature vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^{32}$. Following EG3D[6], we treat the first three channels of c as low-resolution RGB image I_l .

Dual-branch discriminator. We introduce the dual branch discriminator to model the joint distribution of RGB images and semantic masks. Besides the naive concatenation mentioned in the main paper, we tried two dual branch approaches as illustrated in Fig. 11. The first method (Fig. 11 a) first processes semantic masks and RGB images into two independent discriminator blocks and concatenate them together to calculate the standard deviation. The output of the first method is 1×1 vector. Instead of concatenation, the second method shown in Fig. 11 b directly outputs the two vector independently for the semantic masks and RGB images. Though the second method doesn't explicitly enforce the semantic alignment, we experimentally found that it leads to a better image quality without lost semantic-texture consistency.

Training. We train all models on 4 V100s with a batch size of 32. The learning rates of generator and discriminator are 0.0025 and 0.002, respectively. We set the gamma value of RGB image and semantic mask to 2 and 200. Such a high gamma for semantic masks prevents the semantic masks dominating the backward gradient which affects image quality. Following EG3D [6], we regularize the generator pose conditioning by randomly swapping the conditioning pose of the generator with another random pose. We fit a pose distribution from the extracted poses by an off-the-shelf pose detector [15], and

randomly sample a camera pose for swapping. We adopt the blur initialization and style mixing trick in StyleGAN3 [20].

We train our models in two stages split by the neural rendering resolution: 64×64 for 10M images and at 128×128 for additional 2.5M images. Note that we obtain the comparable performance with EG3D with only about half training iterations (25M + 2.5M for EG3D).

C EXPERIMENT DETAILS.

C.1 Baselines

We take a comparison with StyleNeRF [17], StyleSDF [29], FENeRF[36], EG3D[6] and SofGAN[8]. For StyleNeRF and StyleSDF, we utilize their official codes and train on different resolutions or datasets for comparison. For FENeRF, we re-implement it following the paper and obtain a reasonable performance quantitatively and qualitatively. For EG3D, we use the quantitative results in their paper for comparison.

D ADDITIONAL VISUAL RESULTS

In this section we provide additional visual results of experiments related to the our core technical contributions: the global style adjustment (Fig. 12), interactive local shape editing (Fig. 13), region-level texture editing (Fig. 14), real image editing (Fig. 15), the effectiveness of our proposed canonical encoder (Fig. 16) and the high-fidelity facial geometry (Fig. 17).




Fig. 11

Fig. 12



Fig. 13




Fig. 14



Fig. 15




Fig. 16




Fig. 17